arXiv:1207.3304v1 [math.OC] 13 Jul 2012

# SUFFICIENT AND NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE SOLVABILITY OF THE STATE FEEDBACK REGULATION PROBLEM

S. BOULITE, H. BOUSLOUS, L. MANIAR AND R. SAIJ

**Abstract.** In this paper, we discuss the state feedback output regulation problem (SFRP) for infinite-dimensional linear control systems with infinite-dimensional exosystems. Under the polynomial stabilizability assumption, sufficient and necessary conditions are given for the solvability of the SFRP. The solvability of this problem is characterized in terms of the solvability of a pair of linear regulator equations. An application of the solvability of the SFRP for polynomial stable SISO system is given.

**Keywords**: Infinite-Dimensional Systems, Infinite-Dimensional Exosystems, Output Regulation, Polynomial stabilizability.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested on the state feedback output regulation problem of the system described by the following equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \dot z(t) &=& Az(t)+Bu(t)+\mathcal{U}_d(t), & t\geq 0\\ y(t) &=& Cz(t), & t\geq 0\\ z(0) &=& z_0. & \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $A$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $T_A(t)$, $t\geq 0$, on a complex Banach space $Z$. The state of the plant (1.1) is denoted by $z(t)\in Z$. The continuous input $u:\mathbb{R}_+\longrightarrow U$ and the continuous output $y:\mathbb{R}_+\longrightarrow Y$ take values in a complex Banach spaces $U$ and $Y$ respectively. The control operator $B\in\mathcal{L}(U,Z)$ and the observation operator $C\in\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)$. The bounded uniformly continuous function $\mathcal{U}_d:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow Z$ represents a disturbance.

In addition, we assume that there exists an infinite-dimensional linear system, referred to as the exogenous system (or exosystem), that generates a bounded uniformly continuous reference signals $y_r$ and disturbance signals $\mathcal{U}_d$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \dot w(t) &=& Sw(t), & t\in\mathbb{R}\\ y_r(t) &=& Qw(t), & t\in\mathbb{R}\\ \mathcal{U}_d(t) &=& Pw(t), & t\in\mathbb{R}\\ w(0) &=& w_0. & \end{array}\right. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $S$ generates an isometric $C_0$-group $T_S(t), t\in\mathbb{R}$, on a Banach space $W$, $P\in\mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ and $Q\in\mathcal{L}(W,Y)$. We denote the error between the measured and reference outputs by

$$e(t):=y(t)-y_r(t)=Cz(t)-Qw(t).$$

In general, the output regulation problem involves the construction of a control law which stabilizes the plant (1.1) and drives the measured output to achieve asymptoticaly the reference signal $y_r$ inspite of the disturbances $\mathcal{U}_d$. i.e., $e(t)\longrightarrow 0,\quad t\longrightarrow\infty$.

For finite-dimensional linear systems, the SFRP was studied by Davison, Francis, Wonham and others (see e.g. [8, 9, 10, 11] and the references therein). In [11], Francis presented a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R99, 37N35, 47D06, 93B50, 93B52, 93D15, 93D99.

complete characterization for SFRP in terms of solvability of the so called regulator equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcll} A\Pi + B\Gamma + P & = & \Pi S & in \quad D(S) \\ C\Pi & = & Q & in \quad W. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.3}$$

In [10], Davison used similar method for the construction of the control law which regulates the measured output. Many authors also constructed such control law for infinite dimensional linear plants with finite dimensional exosystems, e.g. Pohjolainen [6] and Byrnes et al. [7]. Under the exponential stabilizability assumption of the system (1.1), they proved a complete characterization for existence (and construction) of a regulating control law in terms of solvability of the regulator equations (1.3). Subsequently, Immomen and Pohjolainen have considered infinite dimensional exosystems generating periodic reference signals in [2]. In the same year, in [3], Immonen and Pohjolainen generalized the above results to the case of strongly stabilizable plants (1.1) and bounded uniformly continuous exosystems (1.2). More exactely, they proved that if the pair $(A, B)$ is strongly stabilizable by a feedback operator $K$ and if the regulator equations (1.3) have a solution $(\Pi, \Gamma)$, then the SFRP is solved by the control law $u(t) = Kz(t) + (\Gamma - K\Pi)w(t)$. On the other hand, the converse problem, or the necessary condition, is also studied in the case of finite dimensional exosystems and exponentially stabilizable plants (1.1) in [7]. But, In the case of strong stabilizability, Immonen and Pohjlainen [3] (see [4, Chapter 3]) needed additional assumptions. First, they introduced the concept of regular operators. They gave a characterization of regular operator via the operator equation

$$A\Pi + \Delta = \Pi S \tag{1.4}$$

and established that the operator $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ is regular for $T_A(t)$ if and only if the operator equation (1.4) has a solution $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. This allowed to solve the first regulator equation in (1.3). By imposing certain auxiliary conditions for the reference signals, they established that the second regulator equation of (1.3) were verified.

Notice that the operator equation (1.4), refered as Sylvester equation, was studied by many authors. In particular, in [5], Phong established that if $T_A(t)$ is exponentially stable then the operator equation (1.4) has a unique bounded solution $\Pi : W \longrightarrow Z$ given by

$$\Pi w = \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w \, dt \tag{1.5}$$

for all $w \in W$. Further, if $A$ generates a strongly stable $C_0$-semigroup, then the operator equation (1.4) does not necessarily have a solution. Hence, one may ask: what about the solution of (1.4) if $T_A(t)$ is polynomially stable? In this work, we show that, for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$, if the operator equation has a bounded solution $\Pi : W \longrightarrow Z$ then necessarily the operator $\Pi$ is given by the same formula as (1.5) for all $w \in D(S)$. Then, we will call every operator $\Delta$ such that the integral in (1.5) converges, for all $w \in D(S)$, a conform operator for $T_A(t)$. In this case, we show that the operator equation (1.4) is solved by (1.5).

This paper is concerned with output regulation problem in the case of polynomially stabilizable plants. Our SFRP can be formulated as follows: let $y_r$ be a given signal reference. The task is to find a feedback control law of the form

$$u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t),$$

for some $K \in \mathcal{L}(Z, U)$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$, such that

- $A + BK$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$ on $Z$.
- For the extended closed loop system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} \dot{z}(t) & = (A + BK)z(t) + (BL + P)w(t), & t \geq 0 \\ \dot{w}(t) & = Sw(t), & t \geq 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{1.6}$$

the tracking error $e(t) = Cz(t) - Qw(t) \longrightarrow 0$ as $t \longrightarrow \infty$ for any initial conditions $z_0 \in Z$ and $w_0 \in W$, and $\|e(t)\|_Y \leq m\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad t > 0$ for $z_0 \in D(A)$ and $w_0 \in D(S)$.

Here, we are studying the problem of output regulation problem under the assumption of polynomial stabilization. In our knowledge, this last property is not studied in the litterature, and it is now under study and recent results will appear in a forthcoming paper. Note also that we have assumed that the closed loop semigroup is aslo bounded for some technical problems. The general case is under study.

In this paper, we give first a sufficient condition for the solvability of SFRP under the polynomial stabilizability assumption of the plant, similar to the one of Immonen and Pohjolainen [3]. To give necessary conditions, we use the conform operator notion introduced above and, under some assumptions, we give a characterization for the solvability of SFRP and the regulator equations. In order to illustrate the obtained results, under some assumptions, we will solve explicitly SFRP and the regulator equations for a diagonalizable *SISO* system. Finally, an example of periodic tracking for a controlled wave equation is given.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON POLYNOMIALLY STABLE $C_0$-SEMIGROUPS

In this section we fix our notations and review some results on polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroups. By $D(A)$, $\sigma(A)$, $\rho(A)$, we denote the domain, the spectrum, the resolvent set of a linear operator $A$, respectively, and we set $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ for $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. The open left half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}^-$. Throughout this section, $A$ is the generator of a $C_0$-semigroup $T(t)$ on a Banach space $Z$. Fix a real number $\mu$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M\, e^{(\mu-\varepsilon)t}$ for some constants $M, \varepsilon > 0$ and all $t \geq 0$. The fractional powers of $A_\mu := \mu I - A$ are defined by

$$A_\mu^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma (\mu - \lambda)^{-\alpha} R(\lambda, A)\, d\lambda,$$

for any $\alpha > 0$ and $\Gamma$ is any piecewise smooth path in the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : Re\lambda > \mu - \varepsilon, \lambda \notin [\mu, \infty)\}$ running from $\infty e^{-i\phi}$ to $\infty e^{i\phi}$ for some $0 < \phi < \pi/2$. We further set $A_\mu^0 = I$. The operator $A_\mu^{-\alpha}$ is injective and bounded, hence it has a closed inverse denoted by $A_\mu^\alpha$. The domain $Z_\alpha := D(A_\mu^\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of $\mu$. The domains $Z_\alpha$ endowed with the norm $\|z\|_\alpha = \|A_\mu^\alpha z\|_Z$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $Z_0 = Z$, are Banach spaces. Observe that $Z_\gamma$ is continuously and densely embedded in $Z_\alpha$ for $\gamma \geq \alpha \geq 0$ and that $\|z\|_n$ is equivalent to the usual graph norm of $A^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which is denoted, in general, by $\|\cdot\|_A$. Moreover, the fractional powers commute with $T(t)$ and $A$.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] A $C_0$-semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is called polynomially stable if there are constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t) A_\mu^{-\alpha}\| \leq N\, t^{-\beta} \tag{2.1}$$

for some constant $N > 0$ and all $t > 0$.

We denote that the inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\|T(t)z\| \leq N\, t^{-\beta} \|z\|_\alpha \tag{2.2}$$

for all $z \in Z_\alpha = D(A_\mu^\alpha)$. Note that the above definition is independent of $\mu$ and that the estimate (2.1) with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$ already implies that $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is exponentially stable, i.e.

$$\|T(t)\| \leq M\, e^{-at}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and some constants $M, a > 0$. It was shown in [1] that a polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup satisfies

$$\|T(t) A_\mu^{-\alpha\gamma}\| \leq N(\gamma)\, t^{-\beta\gamma} \tag{2.3}$$

for each $\gamma \geq 1$. Moreover, inequality (2.3) holds for all $\gamma > 0$ if $T(t), t \geq 0$ is polynomially stable and bounded, i.e.

$$\|T(t)\| \leq M \tag{2.4}$$

for some constant $M \geq 1$ and all $t \geq 0$. In this case, we have

$$\|T(t)A_{\mu}^{-\alpha}\| \leq \frac{N}{t} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $t > 0$ (with a different $\alpha$, in general). Due to [1, Proposition 3.3], we have $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$ and therefore we may normalize (2.5) to the estimate

$$\|T(t)(-A)^{-\alpha}\| \leq \frac{N}{t} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $t > 0$ and some $\alpha > 0$, or equivalently

$$\|T(t)A^{-1}\| \leq \frac{N}{t^{1/\alpha}} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $t > 0$ and some $\alpha > 0$. Finally, by density argument, we remark that a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup is always strongly stable.

## 3. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE SOLVABILITY OF THE (SFRB)

In this section, under the assumption that $A + BK$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$, we shall give sufficient conditions for the solvability of the SFRP. Before given our main result in this section, we recall first, from [3, 4], the following lemma, and for the sake of completeness, we give a short proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $Z$ and $W$ be Banach spaces, let $A$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $T_A(t)$ on $Z$ and $S$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $T_S(t)$ on $W$ and let $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. If there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ such that $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A)$ and $\Pi$ satisfies the Sylvester type operator equation (1.4), namely*

$$\Pi S = A\Pi + \Delta$$

*then*

$$\int_0^t T_A(t-\sigma)\Delta T_S(\sigma)w_0 d\sigma = \Pi T_S(t)w_0 - T_A(t)\Pi w_0 \tag{3.1}$$

*for all $t \geq 0$, $w_0 \in W$.*

*Proof.* Let $\omega_0 \in D(S)$, by (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_0^t T_A(t-\sigma)\Delta T_S(\sigma)w_0 d\sigma &= \textstyle\int_0^t T_A(t-\sigma)(\Pi S - A\Pi)T_S(\sigma)w_0 d\sigma \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^t \frac{d}{d\sigma} T_A(t-\sigma)\Pi T_S(\sigma)w_0 d\sigma \\ &= \Pi T_S(t)w_0 - T_A(t)\Pi w_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. From another hand, for each $t \geq 0$, it is clear that the operators $R_1(t)$ and $R_2(t)$ defined by

$$R_1(t)w := \int_0^t T_A(t-\sigma)\Delta T_S(\sigma)w d\sigma$$
$$R_2(t)w := \Pi T_S(t)w - T_A(t)\Pi w$$

are in $\mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. Since $D(S)$ is dense in $W$ and $R_1(t)w = R_2(t)w$ for all $w \in D(S)$ and all $t \geq 0$, we can extend the equality $R_1(t)w = R_2(t)w$ for each $t \geq 0$ to hold for every $w \in W$. □

Our main result in this section is the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that* $A+BK$ *generates a bounded polynomially stable* $C_0$*-semigroup* $T_{A+BK}(t)$*. If the regulator equations (1.3), namely*

$$\begin{cases} A\Pi + B\Gamma + P & = & \Pi S \quad in \quad D(S) \\ C\Pi & = & Q \quad in \quad W \end{cases}$$

*have a solution, then the control law* $u(t) = Kz(t) + (\Gamma - K\Pi)w(t)$ *solves SFRP.*

*Proof.* Since $A+BK$ generates the bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$, we only need to verify the second condition of SFRP. Let $L = \Gamma - K\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,U)$. Then

$$\Pi S = (A+BK)\Pi + BL + P \quad in\, D(S). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_0^t T_{A+BK}(t-\sigma)(BL+P)T_S(\sigma)w_0 d\sigma = \Pi T_S(t)w_0 - T_{A+BK}(t)\Pi w_0 \tag{3.3}$$

for every $w_0 \in W$ and every $t \geq 0$. Now consider the feedback law

$$u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t)$$

and let $z_0 \in Z$ and $w_0 \in W$ be arbitrary. Due to [4, Theorem 3.6], the explicit expression for the tracking error $e(t)$ is as follows

$$e(t) = CT_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0) + (C\Pi - Q)T_S(t)w_0. \tag{3.4}$$

By the second equation of (1.3), we have $C\Pi = Q \; in \; W$, then

$$e(t) = CT_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0), \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $T_{A+BK}(t)$ is polynomially stable and bounded then $T_{A+BK}(t)$ is strongly stable. Hence, by the boundedness of the operator $C$, we obtain that the tracking error $e(t)$ converges to 0 as $t \longrightarrow \infty$ for all $z_0 \in Z$, $w_0 \in W$. □

As an immediate consequence of the formula (3.5), we can give the rate of decay of $\|e(t)\|_Y$ for more regular initial conditions.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Assume that* $z_0 \in D(A)$ *and* $w_0 \in D(S)$*. Then there is a positive constant* $m$ *depending only on* $\|C\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)}, \|z_0\|_A$ *and* $\|w_0\|_S$ *such that*

$$\|e(t)\|_Y \leq m\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \qquad \forall t > 0. \tag{3.6}$$

*Proof.* From the formula (3.5), we have

$$\|e(t)\|_Y \leq \|C\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)} \|T_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0)\|. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $T_{A+BK}(t)$ is polynomially stable and $z_0 - \Pi w_0 \in D(A+BK)$ then, by inequality (2.7)

$$\|T_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0)\| \leq N t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|z_0 - \Pi w_0\|_{A+BK} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $t > 0$. Remark that $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(D(S), D(A+BK))$. In fact, if we put $\Delta := BL + P$, then by (3.2), for every $w \in D(S)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \|\Pi w\|_{A+BK} & = & \|\Pi w\| + \|(A+BK)\Pi w\| \\ & = & \|\Pi w\| + \|\Pi S w - \Delta w\| \\ & \leq & \|\Pi\|\|w\| + \|\Pi\|\|Sw\| + \|\Delta\|\|w\| \\ & \leq & (\|\Pi\| + \|\Delta\|)\|w\|_S = C^{'}\|w\|_S. \end{array}$$

Hence, from the inequalities (3.7) and (3.8), we deduce that

$$\|e(t)\|_Y \leq \|C\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)} N t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\|z_0\|_A + C^{'}\|w_0\|_S) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $t > 0$. Thus

$$\|e(t)\|_Y \leq m\, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

with

$$m = \|C\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)} N(\|z_0\|_A + C^{'}\|w_0\|_S).$$

□

## 4. Necessary conditions for the solvability of SFRP

In this section, we shall discuss necessity of solvability of the regulator equations (1.3) for the solvability of the SFRP. To this end, we introduce a concept of conform operators $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. This allows us to give a complete characterization of the solvability of the Sylvester type operator equation (1.4). The solvability of the second regulator equation of (1.3) is subsequently obtained by imposing certain auxiliary conditions for the reference signals or the speed of output regulation. We emphasize that such conditions are the same imposed by Immonen in [4].

**Definition 4.1.** Let A generate a polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_A(t)$. An operator $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ is said to be conform for the semigroup $T_A(t)$ if the operator

$$\Pi\omega := \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt, \quad w \in D(S),$$

define a linear bounded operator from $D(S)$ to $Z$, where $D(S)$ is endowed with the induced norm of $W$.

In the following lemma, we give a characterization of a conform operator via Sylvester type operator equation (1.4).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $T_A(t)$ be a polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup and let $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. The operator $\Delta$ is conform for $T_A(t)$ if and only if the operator equation $\Pi S = A\Pi + \Delta$ has a solution $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$.*

*Proof.* Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ such that $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A)$ and

$$\Pi S = A\Pi + \Delta \textit{ in } D(S).$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Pi w = T_A(\sigma)\Pi T_S(-\sigma)w + \int_0^\sigma T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt \tag{4.1}$$

for all $w \in W$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. Since $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A)$ then, using the polynomial stability of $T_A(t)$, it is easy to see that the first term in the right hand side of the equality (4.1) converges to 0 as $\sigma \to \infty$ for all $w \in D(S)$. Consequently, we have

$$\Pi w = \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt. \tag{4.2}$$

for all $w \in D(S)$. Conversely, suppose that $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ is a conform operator for the semigroup $T_A(t)$. Then

$$\Pi w := \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt$$

define a bounded linear operator from $D(S)$ to $Z$. By density argument, $\Pi$ can be extended to a linear bounded operator from $W$ to $Z$ noted also by $\Pi$. Let $w \in D(S)$ and $t > 0$ be arbitrary.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_A(t)\Pi w - \Pi w &= \textstyle\int_0^\infty T_A(t+\sigma)\Delta T_S(-\sigma)w d\sigma - \int_0^\infty T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(-\sigma)w d\sigma \\ &= \textstyle\int_t^\infty T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(t-\sigma)w d\sigma - \int_0^\infty T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(-\sigma)w d\sigma \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^\infty T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(-\sigma)(T_S(t)w - w)d\sigma - \int_0^t T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(t-\sigma)w d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $t \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{T_A(t)\Pi w - \Pi w}{t} &= \textstyle\int_0^\infty T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(-\sigma)(\tfrac{T_S(t)w-w}{t})d\sigma - \tfrac{1}{t}\int_0^t T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(t-\sigma)w d\sigma \\ &= \Pi(\tfrac{T_S(t)w-w}{t}) - \tfrac{1}{t}\textstyle\int_0^t T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(t-\sigma)w d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In the first term on the right hand side, we use the fact that $\frac{T_S(t)w-w}{t} \in D(S)$ for every $w \in D(S)$. Since $\displaystyle\lim_{t \longrightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_S(t)w - w}{t} = Sw$ and $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$, then this first term converges to $\Pi S w$ as $t \longrightarrow 0^+$. From another hand, since the map $\sigma \longrightarrow T_A(\sigma)\Delta T_S(t-\sigma)w$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^+$ for every $t \in (0,1)$ and $T_S(t)$ is an isometric group on $W$ then the second term converges to $-\Delta w$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \longrightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_A(t)\Pi w - \Pi w}{t} \text{ exists in Z.}$$

Consequently, $\Pi w \in D(A)$ and $A\Pi w = \Pi S w - \Delta w$. ☐

*Remark* 4.3. if $T_A(t)$ is exponentially stable, then by Corollary 8 in [5], the operator equation $\Pi S = A\Pi + \Delta$ in $D(S)$ has a (unique) solution $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ defined by the same formula

$$\Pi w = \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt$$

for all $w \in W$, that is every operator $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ is conform for an exponentially stable $C_0$-semigroup.

In the following proposition, we give a sufficient condition of the conformity of an operator with a polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let $A$ generate a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_A(t)$ and let $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$. If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(W, D((-A)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}))$, then the operator $\Delta$ is conform for the semigroup $T_A(t)$.*

*Proof.* Since $T_A(t)$ is a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup then, by inequality (2.3), with $\gamma = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}$ and $\beta = 1$, we have

$$\|T_A(t)(-A)^{-\alpha-\varepsilon}\| \leq N_\varepsilon\, t^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}},$$

or equivalently

$$\|T_A(t)z\| \leq N_\varepsilon\, t^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}} \|z\|_{\alpha+\varepsilon} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $z \in Z_{\alpha+\varepsilon} := D((-A)^{\alpha+\varepsilon})$ Let $a > 0$ such that $\|\Delta w\|_{\alpha+\varepsilon} \leq a\,\|w\|$ for all $w \in D(S)$. Since $\Delta T_S(-t)w \in Z_{\alpha+\varepsilon}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w\| &\leq N_\varepsilon\, t^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}} \|\Delta T_S(-t)w\|_{\alpha+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq a\, N_\varepsilon\, t^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}} \|T_S(-t)w\| \\ &= a\, N_\varepsilon\, t^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}} \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the function $t \longrightarrow T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w$ is integrable on $[0,\infty)$. Consequently,

$$\Pi w = \int_0^\infty T_A(t)\Delta T_S(-t)w dt$$

define a bounded linear operator from $W$ to $Z$, and the operator $\Delta$ is conform for the semigroup $T_A(t)$. $\square$

One way to obtain the necessity of solvability of the regulator equations (1.3) for the solvability of the SFRP is to restrict the class of reference signals as follows (see [4]).

**Definition 4.5.** [4] The exogenous system generates admissible reference signals if for every $w \in W$ and each $Q \in \mathcal{L}(W,Y)$ we have: $QT_S(\cdot)w \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R},Y)$ only if $Qw = 0$.

This is the case if, for example, the operator $S$ in (1.2) generates a periodic $C_0$-group $T_S(t)$ on $W$. More generally, one has the following result.

**Proposition 4.6.** [4] *The exogenous system (1.2) generates admissible reference signals provided that at least one of the four conditions below holds:*

1. *The reference signals $QT_S(\cdot)w$ are in $AP(\mathbb{R},Y)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{L}(W,Y)$ and all $w \in W$.*
2. *The spectrum $\sigma(S)$ is countable and $H$ does not contain a closed subspace which is isomorphic to $c_0$ (the space of sequences converging to $0$ with sup-norm).*
3. *The spectrum $\sigma(S)$ is discrete.*
4. *The space $W$ is of finite-dimensional.*

The following theorem presents some conditions under which the solvability of the regulator equations (1.3) is necessary for the solvability of the SFRP.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Assume that the exosystem (1.2) generates admissible reference signals. If the SFRP is solvable for some control law $u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t)$ such that the operator $BL + P \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ is conform for the semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$, then there exits $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(W,U)$ such that $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A)$, $L = \Gamma - K\Pi$ and the regulator equations (1.3) are satisfied.*

*Proof.* Since $BL + P$ is conform for $T_{A+BK}(t)$, by Lemma (4.4), there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,Z)$ such that $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A + BK)$ and

$$\Pi S = (A + BK)\Pi + BL + P \quad \text{in } D(S).$$

Let $\Gamma = L + K\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W,U)$. Then $\Pi$ and $\Gamma$ solve the first regulator equation of (1.3). Next, we show that also the second regulator equation is satisfied. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_0^t T_{A+BK}(t-\sigma)(BL+P)T_S(\sigma)wd\sigma = \Pi T_S(t)w - T_{A+BK}(t)\Pi w$$

for all $w \in W$. Let $w_0 \in W$ be arbitrary and take $z_0 = \Pi w_0 \in Z$. Then the corresponding tracking error $e(t)$, see (3.4), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e(t) &= CT_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0) + (C\Pi - Q)T_S(t)w_0 \\ &= (C\Pi - Q)T_S(t)w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now $(C\Pi - Q)T_S(\cdot)w_0 \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R},Y)$ because the SFRP is solvable. Since the exosystem (1.2) generates admissible reference signals and since $C\Pi - Q \in \mathcal{L}(W,Y)$, we must have

$$C\Pi w_0 - Qw_0 = 0.$$

$\square$

By combining the above result with those in Section 3, we obtain the following complete characterization for the solvability of the regulator equations (1.3) and the SFRP.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let $T_A(t)$ be a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup and assume that the exosystem (1.2) generates admissible reference signals. Then the SFRP is solvable using the control law $u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t)$, where $L \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$ and $BL + P$ is conform for $T_{A+BK}(t)$, if and only if there exist $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$ such that $\Pi(D(S)) \subset D(A)$, $L = \Gamma - K\Pi$ and the regulator equations (1.3) are satisfied.*

Finally, if $A+BK$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup and if we can solve the SFRP in such way that output regulation is polynomially fast, then we can dispense with the assumption that the exogenous system (1.2) only generates admissible reference signals. We arrive at another complete characterization for the solvability of SFRP.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Assume that $A + BK$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$ such that $BL + P$ is conform for the semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$ and such that the control law $u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t)$ solves the SFRP with*

$$\|e(t)\| \leq Mt^{-\beta}[\|z_0\|_A + \|w_0\|_S] \tag{4.4}$$

*for all $t > 0$ and some $\beta, M > 0$, not depending on the initial conditions $z_0 \in D(A)$ and $w_0 \in D(S)$, if and only if $L = \Gamma - K\Pi$ where $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$ satisfy the regulator equations (1.3).*

*Proof.* From the above section, we need only to show the only if condition. Since $BL + P$ is conform for the semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$ then there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ such that

$$\Pi S = (A + BK)\Pi + BL + P \quad \text{in } D(S).$$

Moreover, by (3.4), the explicit tracking error is given by

$$e(t) = CT_{A+BK}(t)(z_0 - \Pi w_0) + (C\Pi - Q)T_S(t)w_0$$

for every $w_0 \in W$ and $z_0 \in Z$. Assume that there exists $w_0 \in D(S), w_0 \neq 0$ such that $\delta := \|(C\Pi - Q)w_0\| > 0$. Let $t_0 > 0$ be such that

$$M\, t_0^{-\beta}\, [\|\Pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(S),D(A+BK))}\|w_0\|_S + \|w_0\|_S] < \delta \tag{4.5}$$

and set $\tilde{w}_0 = T_S(-t_0)w_0 \in D(S)$ and $z_0 = \Pi\tilde{w}_0 \in D(A)$. Then the corresponding tracking error satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|e(t_0)\| &= \|(C\Pi - Q)T_S(t_0)\tilde{w}_0\| \\ &= \|(C\Pi - Q)w_0\| = \delta \\ &> M\, t_0^{-\beta}\, [\|\Pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(S),D(A+BK))}\|w_0\|_S + \|w_0\|_S]. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\|z_0\|_A = \|\Pi\tilde{w}_0\|_A \leq \|\Pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(S),D(A))}\|T_S(-t_0)w_0)\|_S = \|\Pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(S),D(A))}\|w_0\|_S.$$

Therefore,

$$Mt_0^{-\beta}[\|z_0\|_A + \|w_0\|_S] \leq Mt_0^{-\beta}[\|\Pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D(S),D(A))}\|w_0\|_S + \|w_0\|_S] < \|e(t_0)\|$$

which is clearly a contradiction with the inequality (4.4). Hence $(C\Pi - Q)w_0 = 0$ for every $w_0 \in D(S)$. Since $D(S)$ is dense in $W$ and $C\Pi - Q \in \mathcal{L}(W, Y)$, we conclude that

$$C\Pi - Q = 0 \quad \text{in } W.$$

□

*Remark* 4.10. In reviewing the previous proof, we note that the only if of the theorem remains true if we replace the inequality (4.4) by the following

$$\|e(t)\| \leq Mt^{-\beta}[\|z_0\| + \|w_0\|] \tag{4.6}$$

for all $t > 0$, some $\beta, M > 0$ which do not depend on the initial conditions $z_0 \in Z$ and $w_0 \in W$.

## 5. APPLICATION: PERIODIC TRACKING FOR POLYNOMIALLY STABILIZABLE SISO SYSTEMS

In this section, we shall solve the SFRP and the regulator equations (1.3) in the case that the reference and disturbance signals are periodic functions. Under some assumptions, in order to solve SFRP, it is sufficient to verify that the operator $BL + P$ is conform for the semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$ for some operator $L \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$. Hence, the theorem (4.8) allows to solve SFRP.
We consider the system (1.1) with $U = Y = \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $Z$ is a Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Define the operator $A : D(A) \subset Z \longrightarrow Z$ by

$$Az = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_n \langle z, \psi_n \rangle \phi_n$$

$$D(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_n|^2 | \langle z, \psi_n \rangle |^2 < \infty\}$$

where $(\phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $Z$ and $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the corresponding biorthogonal sequence. We assume that the set $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^-$ and that it has no accumulation points on $i\mathbb{R}$. Assume further that the eigenvalues $\{\mu_n\}$ of the operator $A$ satisfies the following property: there exist constants $\alpha,\ c > 0$ and $d > 0$ such that

$$Re\,\mu_n \leq -\frac{c}{|Im\,\mu_n|^\alpha} \qquad \text{if } |Im\,\mu_n| \geq d. \tag{5.1}$$

It is clear that $A$ generates a bounded $C_0$-semigroup, and since $A$ is similar to a normal operator then, due to [1, Proposition 4.1], the above assumptions also imply it generates polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup and

$$\|T_A(t)A^{-1}\| \leq N\, t^{-1/\alpha}$$

for all $t > 0$, or equivalently

$$\|T_A(t)(-A)^{-\alpha}\| \leq \frac{N}{t}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Now we introduce an interesting functions space whose elements can be generated by the exosystem (2.2) if its parameters are suitably chosen. Let $p > 0$, let $\omega_k = \frac{2\pi k}{p}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_k \geq 1,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(f_k^{-1})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$ (the space of square summable complex sequences). We define the state space of the exosystem as follows

$$W = \{y : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid y(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} y_k e^{i\omega_k t},\ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |y_k|^2 f_k^2 < \infty\ , (y_k)_{k\in I} \subset \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Put $\theta_k(t) = e^{i\omega_k t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that the set $\{\theta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ form an orthonormal basis in $W$ with the $L^2$-inner product which is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$. But it shall be interesting to use the inner product in $W$ defined by

$$\langle u, y \rangle_f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} u_k \overline{y_k} f_k$$

where $u_k = \langle u, \theta_k \rangle_{L^2}$ and $y_k = \langle y, \theta_k \rangle_{L^2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $W$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ and the corresponding norm is

$$\|y\|_f = \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} | \langle y, \theta_k \rangle |^2 f_k^2}.$$

Thus the set $\{\theta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with this inner product, form an orthogonal basis in $W$, with $\|\theta_k\|_f = f_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the operator

$$S = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} i\omega_k < \cdot, \theta_k >_{L2} \theta_k, \quad D(S) = \{y \in W \mid \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_k^2 |\left\langle y, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2}|^2 f_k^2 < \infty\}.$$

Notice that the operator $S$ generates an isometric $C_0$-group on $W$ given by

$$T_S(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{i\omega_k t} \left\langle \cdot, \theta_k \right\rangle \theta_k.$$

We fix $P \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ and $Q = \delta_0$ (Dirac mass at 0). Then the exosystem (1.2) with $W, S, Q, P \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ and $w_0 \in W$ can generate all reference signals in $W$ and only those. Moreover, every reference function $y_r \in W$ is generated by the choice $w_0 = y_r \in W$. In fact

$$\delta_0 T_S(t)h = h(x + t)|_{x=0} = h(t)$$

for every $h \in W$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, see [4] for more details. Notice that $Q = \delta_0 \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ because if $y = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle y, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \theta_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_0 y| &= |\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle y, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2}| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f_k^{-2}} \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\left\langle y, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2}|^2 f_k^2} \\ &= c\|y\|_f. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to construct a control law $u(t) = Kz(t) + Lw(t)$ for the asymptotic tracking of periodic reference signals in the presence of the disturbance $\mathcal{U}_d(t)$. Since $A$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup, we can choose $K = 0$. To this end we need some assumptions
**Assumption 1:** $H(i\omega_k) := CR(i\omega_k, A)B \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
**Assumption 2:** $(H(i\omega_k)^{-1}[1 - H_d(k)]f_k^{-1})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$, where $H_d(k) := CR(i\omega_k, A)P\theta_k$.
With these assumptions, it is clear that the operator

$$Ly := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H(i\omega_k)^{-1}[1 - H_d(k)] \left\langle y, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \tag{5.2}$$

is bounded from $W$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that* ***Assumption*** 1 *and* ***Assumption*** 2 *are satisfied. Suppose further that $BL + P$ is a conform operator for the semigroup $T_A(t)$. Then the SFRP is solvable using $u(t) = Lw(t)$. Moreover, for every $y_r \in W$ the corresponding control law $u_{y_r}$ which achieves the asymptotic tracking of $y_r$ in the presence of the disturbance $\mathcal{U}_d(t)$ is given, for all $t \geq 0$, by*

$$u_{y_r}(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H(i\omega_k)^{-1}[1 - H_d(k)] \left\langle y_r, \theta_k \right\rangle_{L^2} e^{i\omega_k t}.$$

*Proof.* Since $BL + P$ is conform for the semigroup $T_{A+BK}(t)$ then there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ such that

$$\Pi S = (A + BK)\Pi + BL + P.$$

Furthermore,

$$\Pi y = \int_0^\infty T_{A+BK}(t)(BL + P)T_S(-t)y dt$$

for all $y \in D(S)$. In particular we have,

$$\Pi\theta_k = \int_0^\infty T_A(t)(BL + P)T_S(-t)\theta_k dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega_k t} T_A(t)(BL + P)\theta_k dt$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$\Pi\theta_k = R(i\omega_k, A)(BL + P)\theta_k \tag{5.3}$$

and therefore,

$$C\Pi\theta_k = CR(i\omega_k, A)(BL + P)\theta_k. \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, by **Assumption** 1 and **Assumption** 2, we have

$$H(i\omega_k) = CR(i\omega_k, A)B \quad \text{and} \quad H_d(k) = CR(i\omega_k, A)P\theta_k.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} CR(i\omega_k, A)(BL + P)\theta_k &= H(i\omega_k)L\theta_k + H_d(k) \\ &= H(i\omega_k)H(i\omega_k)^{-1}[1 - H_d(k)] + H_d(k) \\ &= 1 = \delta_0\theta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (5.4), we deduce that

$$C\Pi\theta_k = \delta_0\theta_k.$$

Now, consider $y = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y, \theta_k\rangle \theta_k \in W$. Since $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z)$ then

$$C\Pi y = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y, \theta_k\rangle C\Pi\theta_k = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y, \theta_k\rangle = y(0) = \delta_0 y.$$

Consequently, the second regulator equation is also satisfied and $SFRP$ is solvable using $u(t) = Lw(t)$. More precisely, for $y_r \in W$, the corresponding control law $u_{y_r}$ which achieves the asymptotic tracking of $y_r$ is given, for all $t \geq 0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{y_r}(t) &= LT_S(t)y_r \\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y_r, \theta_k\rangle_{L^2} e^{i\omega_k t} L\theta_k \\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H(i\omega_k)^{-1}[1 - H_d(k)] \langle y_r, \theta_k\rangle_{L^2} e^{i\omega_k t}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark* 5.2. • Under the assumptions of the above theorem, the operator $\Pi$ can be given explicitly by

$$\Pi y = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y, \theta_k\rangle R(i\omega_k, A)(BL + P)\theta_k.$$

• If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(BL + P) \in \mathcal{L}(W, Z_{\alpha+\varepsilon})$ then the operator $BL + P$ is conform for the semigroup $T_A(t)$ and therefore we can apply results of the above theorem.

Next, we present two concrete examples of operators $A$ considered above.

**Example 1 (Periodic tracking of perturbed wave equation).**

Consider the following perturbed one-dimensional wave equation on (0.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2}(x,t) &= \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}(x,t) + b_0(x)[h_1(x)v(x,t) + h_2(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x,t)] \\ v(0,t) &= v(1,t) = 0 \\ v(x,0) &= v_0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x,0) = v_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0(x) = \sqrt{3}(1-x)$, $h_1$ and $h_2$ are defined in [13, Theorem 13] by

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{\nu\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{\overline{\alpha_k}}{k}\psi_k, \quad \text{where } \alpha_k = \prod_{l\neq 0,k} (1 + i\frac{\nu}{l^2(l-k)})$$

for some $0 < \nu \leq 1$ with $\psi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\left[\begin{array}{c} sin(k\pi x) \\ \lambda_k sin(k\pi x)\end{array}\right]$ and $\lambda_k = ik\pi$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$.

Define the operator $A_0 : D(A_0) \subset L^2(0,1) \longrightarrow L^2(0,1)$ by

$$A_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

with domain $D(A_0) = \left\{v \in L^2(0,1) | v, v' \text{ abs. cont. } v'' \in L^2(0,1), v(0) = v(1) = 0\right\}$. The operator $A_0$ has a positive self-adjoint square root $A_0^{1/2}$ and the space $Z = D(A_0^{1/2}) \times L^2(0,1)$ equipped with an inner product

$$\langle v, w\rangle_Z = \langle A_0^{1/2} v_1, A_0^{1/2} w_1\rangle_{L^2} + \langle v_2, w_2\rangle_{L^2}$$

is a Hilbert space. Next we introduce

$$z = \left[\begin{array}{c} v \\ \frac{dv}{dt}\end{array}\right], \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_0 & 0\end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_0h_1 & b_0h_2\end{bmatrix},$$

$$D(A) = D(A_0) \times D(A_0^{1/2}).$$

Notice that $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$. Introduce also the control operator $B\xi = b\xi = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ b_1\end{smallmatrix}\right]\xi$, where $b_1(x) = x(1-x)$ and the observation operator $C = \sum_{k\neq 0}\langle\cdot, \phi_k\rangle\overline{\langle b, \phi_k\rangle} \in \mathcal{L}(Z, \mathbb{C})$. Thus the above perturbed wave equation can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) &= (A+\Delta)z(t) + Bu(t), \\ z(0) &= z_0 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1\end{smallmatrix}\right] \in Z, \\ y(t) &= Cz(t),\ t \geq 0.\end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

The eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_k$ and the corresponding eigenvectors are $\psi_k$ form an orthonormal basis in $Z$. Due to Paunonen [13], the perturbed operator $\tilde{A} := A + \Delta$ is a Riesz-spectral operator and that $\sigma(\tilde{A}) = \{\frac{-\nu\pi}{k^2} + ik\pi\}_{k\neq 0}$. Further, the eigenvectors $\phi_k$ of $\tilde{A}$ form a Riesz basis of $Z$. Since $\tilde{A}$ is similar to a normal operator then, due to [1, Theorem 4.1], $\tilde{A}$ generates a polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_{\tilde{A}}(t)$ and we have

$$\|T_{\tilde{A}}(t)\tilde{A}^{-1}\| \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{t}} \tag{5.6}$$

for all $t > 0$ and some $N > 0$. Since $T_{\tilde{A}}(t)$ is bounded then the estimate (5.6) is equivalent to

$$\|T_{\tilde{A}}(t)(-\tilde{A})^{-2r}\| \leq \frac{N}{t^r} \tag{5.7}$$

for all $r > 0, t > 0$. Since $\sigma(\tilde{A}) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$ and it has no finite accumulation points on $i\mathbb{R}$, the operator $-\tilde{A}$ is an invertible sectorial operator. Using the fractional domains of the operator $-\tilde{A}$, we have, for $\beta \geq 0$,

$$Z_\beta = D((-\tilde{A})^\beta) = \{z \in Z | \sum_{k\neq 0}|\mu_k|^{2\beta}| < z, \phi_k > |^2 < \infty\}.$$

The space $(Z_\beta, \|\cdot\|_\beta)$ is a Hilbert space with norm defined by

$$\|z\|_\beta^2 = \sum_{k\neq 0}|\mu_k|^{2\beta}| < z, \phi_k > |^2$$

where $\mu_k = \frac{-\nu\pi}{k^2} + ik\pi$ for $k \neq 0$.

In order to apply the above results, we shall consider asymptotic tracking of the $p$-periodic reference signals in the above space $W$. Consider the exogenous system (1.2) with $W, S, Q =$

$\delta_0, P = 0$ and $w_0 \in W$. To verify the **Assumption** 1, we have

$$R(i\omega_k, \tilde{A}) = \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{< \cdot, \phi_n > \Phi_n}{i\omega_k - \mu_n} \tag{5.8}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the transfer function evaluated at the frequencies $i\omega_k$ is

$$H(i\omega_k) = CR(i\omega_k, \tilde{A})B = \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{| < b, \phi_n > |^2}{i\omega_k - \mu_n}. \tag{5.9}$$

For a suitable choice of $b \in Z$, we can verify that $H(i\omega_k) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, put

$$Ly := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H(i\omega_k)^{-1} \langle y, \theta_k \rangle_{L^2}, \tag{5.10}$$

for $y \in W$ such that $L \in \mathcal{L}(W, \mathbb{C})$. We saw that the operator $L$ is bounded if and only if the condition

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |H(i\omega_k|^{-2}|f_k|^{-2} < \infty. \tag{5.11}$$

holds. Now, we show that $BL$ is a conform operator. To this end we verify that $b \in Z_{2+\varepsilon} = D((-\tilde{A})^{2+\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$\langle b, \psi_k \rangle_Z = \langle b_1, \sin k\pi\cdot \rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^1 b_1(x) \sin k\pi x \, dx$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. An easy computation shows that

$$< b, \psi_k >_Z = \frac{2(1 - (-1)^k)}{k^3\pi^3}.$$

Since $| \mu_k | = O(k^2)$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ then

$$| \mu_k |^{2(2+\varepsilon)} |< b, \psi_k >_Z|^2 = O(k^{2\varepsilon-2})$$

Hence the series

$$\sum_{k\neq 0} |\mu_k|^{2(2+\varepsilon)} | < z, \psi_k > |^2 < \infty$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus if we choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, the operator $BL$ is bounded from $W$ to $Z_{2+\varepsilon}$ and due to the Proposition (4.4), we conclude that $BL$ is a conform operator for the semigroup $T_{\tilde{A}}(t)$ and the first regulator equation of (1.3) has a bounded solution given by

$$\Pi y := \int_0^\infty T_{\tilde{A}}(t) BL T_S(-t) y dt$$

for all $y \in D(S)$. Thus, we can use Theorem 5.1 to conclude that $SFRP$ is solvable using $u(t) = Lw(t)$. More precisely, for $y_r \in W$, the corresponding control law $u_{y_r}$ which achieves the asymptotic tracking of $y_r$ is given, for all $t \geq 0$, by

$$u_{y_r}(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H(i\omega_k)^{-1} \langle y_r, \theta_k \rangle_{L^2} e^{i\omega_k t}.$$

**Example 2.**

Let $Z$ be a Hilbert space with an inner product $< \cdot, \cdot >$ and an orthonormal basis $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Consider a linear control system (1.1) where $A = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_n \langle \cdot, \psi_n \rangle \psi_n$ with $\mu_n = -\frac{1}{1+|n|} + i\omega_n$ and

$$D(A) = \{z \in Z \,|\, \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_n|^2 | \langle z, \psi_n \rangle |^2 < \infty\},$$

$B\xi = \psi_0\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}, C = \langle \cdot, \psi_0\rangle$ and $P = 0$. As in the beginning of this section, we see that $A$ generates a bounded polynomially stable $C_0$-semigroup $T_A(t)$ with $\alpha = 1$.
we shall consider asymptotic tracking of $p$-periodic reference signals in the space $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = W$ with $f_n = \sqrt{1+\omega_n^2}^\gamma$ where $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$. Notice that the condition $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ is necessary so that $(f_n^{-1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$ but not sufficient for the boundedness of the operator $L$ defined as above. Since $A$ is a Riesz spectral operator [12], we have

$$R(\lambda, A) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu_n} \langle \cdot, \psi_n\rangle \psi_n, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A).$$

Hence we have $R(\lambda, A)B = \frac{1}{\lambda+1}\psi_0$. Since $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis then the transfer function of the plant satisfies

$$H(i\omega_k) = CR(i\omega_k)B = \frac{1}{1+i\omega_k} \neq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, we define the operator $L$ as in (5.10) and show that $L$ is bounded for a suitable choice of $\gamma$. In fact, by using the Schwartz inequality we have

$$\|Ly\| \le \sum |[1+i\omega_k]\langle y, \theta_k\rangle_{L^2}| \le \sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle y, \theta_k\rangle_{L^2}|^2 (1+i\omega_n^2)^\gamma} \sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1+\omega_n^2}{(1+\omega_n^2)^\gamma}}.$$

Since $\|y\|_f = \sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle y, \theta_k\rangle_{L^2}|^2 (1+i\omega_n^2)^\gamma}$ then the operator $L$ is bounded if

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1+\omega_n^2}{(1+\omega_n^2)^\gamma} < \infty.$$

This can be verified whenever $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$. Now, to apply the Theorem 5.1 we shall verify that $BL$ is conform operator for the semigroup $T_A(t)$. We have $B\xi = \psi_0\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\psi_0 \in Z_\eta = D((-A)^\eta)$ for all $\eta > 0$, clearly $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma, \mathbb{C})$ and consequently $BL \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma, Z_\eta)$ whenever $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$. Due to Proposition (4.4), we conclude that $BL$ is a conform operator for the semigroup $T_{\tilde{A}}(t)$ and the results of Theorem (5.1) can be applied to conclude that, for $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$ the control law $u(t) = LT_S(t)y_r = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle y_r, \theta_n\rangle_{L^2} [1+i\omega_n]e^{i\omega_n t}$ achieves asymptotic tracking of an arbitrary $y_r \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$.

## REFERENCES

[1] A. Bátkai, K.-J. Engel, J. Pruss, R. Schnaubelt, *Polynomial stability of operator semigroups*, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 1425-1440 .

[2] Eero Immonen and Seppo Pohjolainen, What periodic signals can an exponentially stabilizable linear feedforward control system asymptotically track?, Siam J. Control Optim. **44** (2006), 22532268.

[3] Eero Immonen and Seppo Pohjolainen, Feedback and feedforward output regulation of bounded uniformly continuous signals for infinite-dimensional systems, Siam J. Control Optim. **45** (2006), 17141735.

[4] Eero Immonen, *State Space Output Regulation Theory for Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems and Bounded Uniformly Continuous Exogenous Signals*, PhD thesis, Tampere University of Technology, 2006.

[5] Quoc Phong Vu, *The operator equation AX-XB=C with unbounded operators A and B and related abstract Cauchy problems*, Math. Z. **208** (1992), 567-588.

[6] Seppo Pohjolainen, *A feedforward controller for distributed parameter systems*, Int. J. Control **34** (1981), 173-184.

[7] Christopher Byrnes, István Laukó, David Gilliam, and Victor Shubov. *Output regulation for linear distributed parameter systems*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, **45** (2000), 2236-2252.

[8] Edward J. Davison, *The feedforward control of linear multivariable time-invariant systems*, Automatica **9** (1973), 561-573.

[9] Edward J. Davison, *Multivariable turning regulators: The feedforward and robust control of a general servomechanism problem*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **21** (1976), 35-47.

[10] Edward J. Davison, *The steady-state invertibility and feedforward control of linear time-invariant systems*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **21** (1976), 529-534.

[11] Bruce A. Francis, *The linear multivariable regulator problem*, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, **15** (1977), 486-505.

[12] R. F. Curtain. H. J. Zwart, *An Introduction to Infinite Dimentional Linear Systems Theory*, Springer-Verlag. New York. 1995.

[13] L. Paunonen, Perturbation of strongly and polynomially stable Riesz-spectral operators, Syst. Contr. Lett. **60** (2011), 234-248.

*H. Bouslous, L. Maniar and R. Saij*, University Cadi Ayyad, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Department of Mathematics, B.P. 2390, 40000 Marrakesh, Morocco.

*E-mail address*: `bouslous@ucam.ac.ma, maniar@ucam.ac.ma, saijrachid@gmail.com`

*S. Boulite*, University Hassan II Casablanca, Faculty of Sciences Ain Chock, Department of Mathematics & Informatics, B.P. 5366 Maarif 20100 Casablanca, Morocco.

*E-mail address*: `boulite@gmail.com`